UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,198	10/28/2003	Chien-Ping Huang	60173(71987)	7288
	7590	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 5587	<b>'</b> 4	TRINH, HOA B		
BOSTON, MA	02203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Astion Communication		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/696,19	8	HUANG, CHIEN-PING				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		HOA B. TI		2814				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no even n. eriod will apply and wi tatute, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be tin Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	28 January 200	R					
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 January 2008</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	/ <b>—</b>			secution as to th	e merits is			
٥/ا	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		objected to by the	Examiner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to		-					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice (3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/696,198 Page 2

Art Unit: 2814

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-4, and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ku (US 2004/0099945)

Ku discloses a multi-chip package device with a heat sink 50 (fig. 4), comprising a chip carrier 10 (fig.4); at least one first chip 30 (fig. 4) or 31 (fig. 4) mounted on and electrically connected to a surface of the chip carrier 10; at least one semiconductor package 20 (fig. 4) mounted on and electrically connected to the surface of the chip carrier 10 (fig. 4); wherein the package 20 appears to be "slightly" thicker than the first chip 30 or 31 (fig. 4) (note that the examiner broadly interprets the term "slightly" since it does not denote a definite dimension) and the heat sink 50 (fig. 4) mounted via an adhesion layer (page 4, [0061], lines 3-5) on a surface of the first chip 30, 31 (fig. 4) and a surface of the semiconductor package 20 (fig. 4) that are opposite to surfaces of the first chip 30, 31 (fig. 4) and the semiconductor package 20 mounted on the chip carrier 10 (fig. 4). The at least one hollow part 504 (fig. 4) extending through the heat sink 50 is formed at an area of the heat sink free of contact with the first chip and the semiconductor package to inherently release thermal stresses from the heat sink through the at least one hollow part that remains hollow.

However, Ku does not explicitly teach that a portion of the heat sink attached to the first chip is made thicker than another portion of the heat sink mounted on the semiconductor package and that the size of the hollow part is adjusted depending on the thickness of the heat sink to effectively release the thermal stresses from the heat sink.

Nevertheless, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the at least one hollow aperture of Ku with the portion of the heat sink attached to the first chip being made thicker than another portion of the heat sink mounted on the package, since it is a prima facie obvious to an artisan for optimization and experimentation to set different thickness dimensions of the heat sink on the device because

applicants have not yet established any criticality or unexpected result for the different thickness dimensions of the heat sink. Also, applicants' claimed result is predictable. With respect to the size of the hollow part, the result is predictable because when the thickness of the heat sink changes, it also changes the size of the hollow part.

Note: Normally it is to be expected that a change in temperature, or in thickness, or in time, would be an unpatentable modification. Under some circumstances, however, changes such as these may impart patentability to a process if the particular ranges claimed produce a new and unexpected result which is different in kind and not merely degree from the results of the prior art...such ranges are termed "critical ranges and the applicant has the burden of proving such criticality.... More particularly, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller 105 USPQ233, 255 (CCPA 19553.

As to claim 2, Ku teaches that the semiconductor package 20 (fig. 4) is a flip-chip ball grid array package (specification, page 1, [0004]).

As to claim 3, Ku teaches that the first chip 30 or 31 (fig. 4) is capable of being a graphic chip.

As to claim 4, Ku teaches that the first chip 30 or 31 (fig. 4) is capable of being a graphic processing unit.

As to claim 6, Ku teaches that the first chip 30 or 31 (fig. 4) is mounted at the center of the chip carrier 10 (fig. 4), and the semiconductor package 20 is mounted at a position on the chip carrier 10 corresponding to a corner of the heat sink 50.

As to claim 7, Ku teaches that at least one pair of the semiconductor packages 20 (fig.4) are mounted on the chip carrier 10 (fig. 4), and the hollow part 504 (fig. 4) of the heat sink 50 (fig. 4) is located between the semiconductor packages.

As to claim 8, at least one symmetrical pair (fig. 4) of the hollow parts 504 (fig. 4) are formed through the heat sink 24(fig.4).

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ku in view of Chee et al. (2003/0089977; hereinafter as Chee).

Ku discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Ku does not explicitly teach that the semiconductor package is a Random Access Memory (RAM) unit.

Chee discloses an analogous multi-chips BGA package (fig. 3b) having a carrier 314, and chips 311, 312, 313 (fig. 3b), wherein the package includes a RAM unit (paragraph [0023]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the package for multi-chips of Ku to include a RAM unit, as taught by Chee, for reducing packaging cost (paragraph [0013]).

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 01/28/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the remarks, applicants argue that Ku's aperture does not effectively release the thermal stress from the heat sink. The examiner disagrees. As stated in the above rejection, The at least one hollow part 504 (fig. 4) extending through the heat sink 50 is formed at an area of the heat sink free of contact with the first chip and the semiconductor package to inherently release

Application/Control Number: 10/696,198 Page 6

Art Unit: 2814

thermal stresses from the heat sink through the at least one hollow part that remains hollow. Further, Ku discloses at least one semiconductor package 20 (fig. 4) mounted on and electrically connected to the surface of the chip carrier 10 (fig. 4). Thus, Ku anticipates the limitation of the claims.

For the foregoing reasons, applicants still have not overcome the cited references.

## Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Vikki Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-1719. The Examiner can normally be reached from Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM Eastern Time. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Wael Fahmy, can be reached at (571) 272-1705. The office fax number is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,198 Page 7

Art Unit: 2814

9. Any request for information regarding to the status of an application may be obtained

from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Also, status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public Pair. In addition,

status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. If you have questions

pertaining to the Private PAIR system, please contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at

866-217-9197 (toll free).

10. Lastly, paper copies of cited U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications will

cease to be mailed to applicants with Office actions as of June 2004. Paper copies of foreign

patents and non-patent literature will continue to be included with office actions. These cited

U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's

PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. patents and patent application publications are available

on the USPTO web site (www.uspto.gov), from the Office of Public Records and from

commercial sources. Applicants are referred to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at

http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-217-9197 for information on this policy. Requests

to restart a period for response due to a missing U.S. patent or patent application publications

will not be granted.

/(Vikki) Hoa B Trinh/ Examiner, Art Unit 2814 /Howard Weiss/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2814